

CANDIDATE'S STATEMENT FOR VOTERS' PAMPHLET

For: Primary Election

General Election

November 4, 1980

- Any eligible candidate may file with the Secretary of State a portrait of the candidate and a typewritten statement setting forth the reasons why the candidate should be nominated or elected (ORS 251.065).
- Two typewritten copies of this statement must be furnished to the Secretary of State's office.
- The statement must begin with a summary of the following: occupation, educational and occupational background, and prior governmental experience (ORS 251.085).
- This statement must indicate by whom the portrait or statement was furnished.
- The statement should not exceed a maximum of 380 words per single space or 1000 words per double space.
- Words used in the required background summary must be included in the maximum word total.
- It is the responsibility of the candidate to accurately count the words and indicate the exact number on this form.
- The statement must be submitted in final manuscript form. No statement will be returned to candidate for proofing.

CANDIDATE

Name David Koch

Office Vice President of the United States

District (or)

Position if Applicable _____

Party Libertarian

PORTRAIT REQUIREMENTS

Submitted Not submitted

- Two 5" x 7" glossy prints of the candidate's portrait should be submitted, suitably prepared and processed for printing.
- The portrait must be a conventional photograph of the face or face and bust of the candidate and shall not include the hands or anything held in the hands.
- The background must be plain.
- The portrait must not show the candidate wearing a hat, uniform, robe, or insignia.
- The portrait must be less than one year old from the date of submission.
- Any cost incurred for retouching photographs to meet the above requirements will be billed to the candidate.

VOTERS' PAMPHLET FILING FEES

Page means 29.8 square inches of Voters' Pamphlet space for portrait and statement (ORS 251.095).

| Public Office | Voters' Pamphlet Fee |
|--|---|
| 1. United States President | Two pages at \$150 per page |
| 2. United States Senator | Two pages at \$150 per page |
| 3. United States Representative | Two pages at \$150 per page |
| 4. Statewide offices including Statewide Judicial Offices | Two pages at \$150 per page |
| 5. State Senator or Representative | One page, \$50 |
| 6. Circuit and District Court Judges | One page, \$50 |
| 7. District Attorney | One page, \$50 |
| 8. Multi-County office | One page, \$50 |
| 9. County office | One page, \$50 |
| 10. District offices within county | No space allowed |
| 11. Mayor or City Councilman (cities with 50,000 or more population) | One page, \$50 |
| 12. MSD Offices | Primary: One-half page, \$25 General: One page, \$50 |

CANDIDATE'S STATEMENT: PART ONE

OCCUPATION (present employment):

President, Koch Engineering Co., New York;
President, Abcor Inc., Massachusetts

OCCUPATIONAL BACKGROUND (previous employment):

Chemical engineer. Mr. Koch's companies design and fabricate pollution control equipment.

EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND (Schools attended; last grade completed; degree(s), if any):

Massachusetts Institute of Technology:
B. S. in Chemical Engineering, 1962;
M. S. in Chemical Engineering, 1963.

PRIOR GOVERNMENTAL EXPERIENCE (Elected or Appointed):

By signing this form I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT all information supplied by me about my occupation, educational and occupational background, and prior governmental experience is true to the best of my knowledge.

(Signature of Candidate)

TOTAL WORDS—Part 1: 35

Continue candidate's statement on reverse side of this form.

CANDIDATE'S STATEMENT: PART TWO

Part 1 Total Words _____

Part 2 Total Words _____

Grand Total Words _____

no more than 380 words per single page. Count each word including those used for the required
One summary. Please note this maximum word total does not allow for special spacing, indenting
and excessive capitalization. Type no more than 1000 words if you purchased two spaces. Use SED
Form 125a Supplement if necessary.

TO THE VOTERS OF OREGON:

Breaking away from the established political system of Republicans and Democrats requires careful consideration and a firm decision that America needs a new direction. Ed Clark and I are asking you to make this decision and vote Libertarian in November.

I feel strongly that America needs a new institutional alternative within our political system, and that this alternative must speak clearly in favor of smaller government, lower taxes, strict respect for personal liberties, and a new American foreign policy of non-intervention.

Libertarians consistently uphold these positions, and we do so out of a principled concern for individual freedom. Libertarians approach government by asking the question:

"Will this policy, program, agency, or action of government protect and defend individual rights? Or will it continue to erode these rights, and extend the control of government over our lives?"

We believe that government's proper role is to defend our rights--our right to life and liberty, our right to conduct our business affairs in a voluntary and honest way, and our right to choose our own peaceful lifestyles.

When government goes beyond the defense of our rights--when it intrudes into our private lives, regulates our economic affairs, demands crushing taxes, or engages in massive foreign intervention using our money and our lives--it not only abridges our liberties, it also expands and perpetuates the problems it purports to solve.

As a businessman (not a professional politician like my opponents), I'm

This information furnished by Clark for President Committee, 2300 Wisconsin Avenue NW,

Washington, D. C. 20007. Chris Hocker, National Coordinator.

Signature of individual filing statement

SED Form 125
Rev. Dec., 1979

CANDIDATE'S STATEMENT FOR VOTERS' PAMPHLET
For November 4, 1980 General Election
(Supplement to SED Form 125)

particularly concerned about the relationship of government to business. I see two aspects of the present relationship which are crippling our economy and systematically denying equal opportunity to all Americans:

1. GOVERNMENT CONTROL OF BUSINESS

High taxes, thousands of regulations, and constant intrusion into the affairs of honest businesspeople--these must be stopped. Small businesspeople in particular suffer the weight of government control; many are unable to continue in business solely because of the cost of dealing with the bureaucracy. Others fail because of high income taxes; and still others--especially family farmers--can't even transfer their own property because of inheritance taxes.

Should we really continue government's practice of discouraging individual enterprise and success? Of course not!

We must slash tax rates, including those for capital gains, and we should accelerate depreciation schedules for capital equipment. We must stop strangling small and large businesses with a web of controls and regulations.

These taxes and regulations deny all of us, as consumers, the products and services that could otherwise be produced. They deny businesspeople the right to serve consumers. They destroy potential jobs for workers and reduce wage rates.

We need to end these crippling controls and let businesses, workers, and consumers interact voluntarily in a free, competitive marketplace. We'll all be freer and more prosperous.

But there's another aspect of government's relationship to business that also concerns me.

CANDIDATE'S STATEMENT FOR VOTERS' PAMPHLET
For November 4, 1980 General Election
(Supplement to SED Form 125)

2. GOVERNMENT SUBSIDIES TO BUSINESS

At the same time that government regulates and cripples business, it also grants subsidies, protection, tariffs, loan guarantees, and other favors to certain privileged businesses. We can't have a free, competitive economy with all these favors being handed out by government.

A free market means a society in which government doesn't cripple business with regulations or subsidize business. These subsidies always help politically powerful businesses--like Lockheed and Chrysler--at the expense of consumers and smaller, less politically influential businesses.

Government help to business always transfers consumers' dollars to businesses they did not choose to patronize. Subsidies, loan guarantees, and the like distort the economy away from what consumers want and thus lower our standard of living.

Tariffs and other restrictions on trade hurt consumers by denying them the opportunity to buy the best available goods at the lowest possible price.

Subsidies and protection for business cripple our economy just as much as regulations do. A Libertarian administration will guarantee that consumers will be protected by strict laws against products that are dangerous or don't work. But the regulations and subsidies that cripple and distort our economy must be ended.

THE ENVIRONMENT

Another issue I'm concerned about--as a businessman and as a citizen--is the environment. It's outrageous that companies--and government agencies--are so often allowed to pour garbage into our air and water with impunity.

CANDIDATE'S STATEMENT FOR VOTERS' PAMPHLET
For November 4, 1980 General Election
(Supplement to SED Form 125)

In many ways this is just another kind of government protection for business. There is an old common-law rule that no one can pollute another's property. But in the 19th century, the courts began to ignore that rule, saying that economic growth was more important than individual rights. Businesses were exempted from the obligation to respect the rights of others. And of course, government agencies always exempt themselves from respecting individual rights.

This assault on property rights is what has led us to our present situation, with the environment increasingly endangered by air and water pollution.

What's the solution?

Businesspeople often criticize the Environmental Protection Agency for imposing costly, bureaucratic, and cumbersome regulations on business. At the same time, environmentalists complain that the EPA is ineffective and slow to respond to problems. Both these criticisms are valid.

The EPA, like all regulatory agencies, is inefficient and bureaucratic. A better answer is a legal system that once again recognizes property rights and forbids harmful pollution of anyone's air or water. That will be the best protection for our rights and our environment.

CONCLUSION

I'm spending a lot of time this year campaigning because I believe our country faces serious problems and that the Libertarian Party offers the new approach we need.

I think the people of Oregon, with their history of skepticism and independence, will support this new direction in politics. I urge you to

David Koch
Libertarian

CANDIDATE'S STATEMENT FOR VOTERS' PAMPHLET
For November 4, 1980 General Election
(Supplement to SED Form 125)

join millions of Americans in supporting Ed Clark, David Koch, and all
Libertarian candidates in Oregon.

| | |
|---------------------------------|------|
| SED Form 125 Part 1 total words | 35 |
| SED Form 125 Part 2 total words | 142 |
| SED Form 125 Supplements | 823 |
| GRAND TOTAL WORDS | 1000 |

This information furnished by: Clark for President Committee, 2300 Wisconsin Avenue NW,
Washington, D. C. 20007. Chris Hocker, Natl. Coordinator.

Signature